



KaozhengPro

IT認證考試題庫 專業平臺

考證寶提供最新考古題與模擬試題
協助您高效通過認證考試

www.kaozhengpro.com

Exam : **AP-223**

Title : CPQ and Billing Consultant
Accredited Professional

Version : DEMO

1. You are implementing the Design Document for a large Enterprise Revenue Cloud project having multiple lookup price rules supporting a complex pricing requirement in the Build phase. During construction the customer discovers additional logic and external data stores that need to be incorporated in order to achieve the correct pricing in a particular set of use cases. You estimate the lookup price rules will need to be modified, additional rules will need to be created and API development will be needed. As an Implementation consultant what is the appropriate course of action that should take in this predicament?

- A. Communication to the customer ongoing adjustment can be made as long as we're in the build phase.
- B. Implement the lookup price rules immediately then review with the solution Architect.
- C. Communicate these changes to the project manager who will evaluate the impact to scope, timeline and budget then determine the next course of action
- D. Consult with the solution Architect first who will expedite the updates to the design documents, then implement the changes immediately.
- E. Gather more details, if it requires a low level of effort then implement immediately before starting the next sprint. Otherwise Complete on the subsequent sprint.

Answer: C

Explanation:

For a large Enterprise Revenue Cloud (Salesforce CPQ + Billing) implementation, the key themes in all Salesforce delivery guidance and project best practices are:

Governance and change control

Design-first, then build

Raising scope-impacting changes through the Project Manager

Architect accountability for solution integrity, PM accountability for scope/timeline/budget

Let's walk through why C is correct and why the other options conflict with typical Salesforce CPQ/Billing implementation best practices.

1. Context of the Scenario You are in the Build phase and: You already have a design with:

Multiple Lookup Price Rules implementing complex pricing. New information emerges:

Additional pricing logic

External data stores that must be incorporated Need to modify existing lookup rules

Need to create additional rules

Need API development (integration work) This is not a cosmetic tweak; it is:

Scope-impacting (new integration/API work, new logic) Design-impacting (pricing architecture changes)

Potentially timeline and budget impacting Therefore, this triggers formal change control.

2. Why Option C is Correct C. Communicate these changes to the project manager who will evaluate the impact to scope, timeline and budget then determine the next course of action

This aligns with standard Salesforce implementation and project governance principles:

Any change that affects scope, complexity, or integration must be raised to the Project Manager (PM)

Project Manager is responsible for:

Scope management

Timeline & milestones

Budget & resourcing

Managing change requests and stakeholder approvals

The PM will:

Evaluate impact with:

Solution Architect (for technical/design impact)

Tech leads / Dev leads (for effort estimation)

Decide:

Whether a Change Request (CR) is needed

How to re-prioritize sprints, adjust backlog

Whether additional budget / time is required

How to communicate to customer stakeholders

This preserves:

Design integrity (Architect still evaluated the solution)

Project discipline (PM governs scope/timeline/budget)

Traceability and documentation (updated design docs, backlog, CRs)

This is exactly how a large enterprise Revenue Cloud (CPQ + Billing) program is expected to run.

3. Why the Other Options Are Not Appropriate A. "Adjust as long as we're in build phase"

A. Communication to the customer ongoing adjustment can be made as long as we're in the build phase.

Problems:

Implies uncontrolled scope creep:

"As long as we're in build, we can just keep adjusting."

No mention of:

Impact to scope, timeline, budget

Formal change control

Involvement of PM or Architect

In a complex CPQ/Billing implementation, this would:

Break governance

Risk missed deadlines and budget overruns

Create misaligned expectations with the customer

So A contradicts standard methodology and enterprise delivery practices.

B. "Implement then review with the Solution Architect" B. Implement the lookup price rules immediately then review with the solution Architect.

Problems:

Sequence is wrong:

You never build first and ask the Architect later on large-scale pricing and integration changes.

This can cause:

Misalignment with overall pricing architecture

Conflicts with other CPQ/Billing components (e.g., Amendments, Renewals, Billing logic)

Rework if the Architect has a different approach

Still no mention of PM or scope/timeline/budget impact.

This violates both design governance and project governance.

D. "Architect then immediate implementation (no PM)" D. Consult with the solution Architect first who will expedite the updates to the design documents, then implement the changes immediately.

This is closer, but still incomplete:

Good:

You involve the Solution Architect.

You talk about updating design documents.

But:

No involvement of the Project Manager.

No consideration of:

Impact to scope

Impact to timeline

Impact to budget

For “large Enterprise Revenue Cloud” projects, Architect ≠ PM:

Architect owns technical solution integrity

PM owns project plan, change control, stakeholder approvals

So D ignores formal change management which is critical at enterprise scale.

E. “If low effort, just do it; else next sprint” E. Gather more details, if it requires a low level of effort then implement immediately before starting the next sprint. Otherwise complete on the subsequent sprint.

Problems:

Consultant is unilaterally deciding based on “low effort”:

No PM.

No formal scope/time/budget impact evaluation.

This might be okay for minor cosmetic or non-functional changes in a small project, but:

Here we have:

Complex pricing

Multiple lookup price rules

External data store integrations

API development

This is never “just low effort”.

For a large enterprise Revenue Cloud implementation:

This bypasses governance, change control, and approvals.

So E promotes ad hoc scope changes, which is against standard practice.

4. How This Ties Back to Salesforce CPQ & Billing Best Practices
In Salesforce CPQ and Billing implementations, especially when dealing with complex pricing logic and external integrations:

Complex Pricing (Lookup Price Rules):

Changes can affect:

Quote calculation performance

Sequential dependencies with Price Rules, Discount Schedules, QCP, Billing logic

May cause downstream issues in:

Orders, Invoices, Revenue Schedules, Amendments, Renewals External Data Stores & API

Development: Introduces:

New integration patterns

Error handling, retries, timeouts

Security and governance requirements

Impacts:

Technical design

Test strategy (SIT, UAT, performance testing)

Possibly non-functional requirements

Because of that, Salesforce project documentation and implementation guidance emphasize:

Raising such changes via Project Manager

Having the Solution Architect assess and update:

Solution design

Integration architecture

Managing it formally as a change request if it affects:

Scope

Timeline

Budget

This is exactly what Option C describes at the right level of responsibility.

2.Universal Containers has recently implemented and released CPQ to users in their production environment. After an extensive testing Cycle in a sandboxed environment. One of the automations implemented was to set every new quote created as "primary" at the time of creation in order to save clicks. Users immediately began to report errors when trying to create quotes in the production environment for the first time.

What could have caused this issue?

- A. The User did not execute post-installation scripts upon their first login to CPQ.
- B. The User did not have the proper access to the Opportunity Product object.
- C. The User did not have the proper access to the Quote Line object.
- D. The User did not have the proper access to the Quote Object.

Answer: A

Explanation:

When a Salesforce CPQ user logs into production for the first time, CPQ requires running the Post-Install Script. This script:

Creates default settings

Ensures CPQ-managed fields are initialized

Grants required permissions

Creates default Primary Quote logic metadata

Updates field values such as IsPrimary, quote calculation settings, etc.

Why the issue happened The customer implemented automation that automatically sets a new quote as Primary at creation.

If a user has not executed the CPQ Post-Install Script on their first login, then Salesforce CPQ has not yet initialized several objects and fields that are required for the Primary Quote creation process.

Therefore, the "first time users tried to create quotes" → they encountered errors, because:

Their user-specific CPQ installation metadata was not initialized

CPQ could not run its internal logic that depends on Primary Quote setup

Salesforce's installation documentation explicitly states:

Each CPQ user must run the Post-Install Script after first login, or they may encounter errors when creating quotes, setting a quote primary, or performing calculations.

Thus the correct answer is A, and it is consistent with CPQ installation best practices.

3.Universal Containers sell a product bundle named "Corporate IT Solutions". One of the product options inside this bundle is named Hardware Firewall Universal Containers has a requirement where if the customer has purchased a hardware firewall in the past, the hardware firewall product option should be hidden while configuring the bundle.

The CPQ admin has created a product rule to handle this requirement.

What should the evaluation event of the product rule be set to?

- A. Always
- B. Save
- C. Load and Edit
- D. Load.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Scenario Summary Universal Containers sells a bundle “Corporate IT Solutions.” Inside it is a product option: Hardware Firewall. Requirement:

If the customer previously purchased that Hardware Firewall (historical purchase), Then hide the product option inside the bundle during configuration. This is a Configuration Rule

Using Selection/Filter logic to hide options

The rule must trigger as soon as the bundle loads, because the product option must be hidden before the user interacts with the bundle.

What type of Product Rule? Which Evaluation Event is Correct? Salesforce CPQ Product Rule

Evaluation Events:

Event

When it Fires

Typical Use

Load

When the configuration page loads the first time

Hide/show options, preselect options, set initial values

Edit

On any user modification

Rerun rules based on changes

Load and Edit

Both events above

When both initial setup and change handling are required Save

When the quote line editor is saved

Validation rules that block save

We need the product option to be hidden immediately when configuring the bundle.

It is not dependent on user edits.

It uses historical purchase data (Opportunity Product / Asset / Subscriptions).

In this requirement Therefore, the rule should fire at initial load only, not waiting for user interaction.

Always → not a valid Product Rule Event type in CPQ.

Save → too late; user would see the option before it's hidden.

Load and Edit → unnecessary; we do not need edits to trigger this rule.

Edit → would fail because hiding must occur before user interaction.

Why Not the Others? Salesforce CPQ Documentation Alignment CPQ Product Rules documentation states:

Use Load when you want the rule to evaluate immediately when the configurator opens, typically for hiding, filtering, or preselecting options.

This matches the required behavior perfectly.

4.Should Bundles be a scoping topic of discussion as part of a CPQ project?

- A. Yes, bundle configuration is a necessary part of CPQ and it should always be implemented.
- B. Yes, bundle Configuration should be introduced and it's up to the customer to decide whether they need it or not.
- C. No, if the customer is not using bundle configuration currently, they won't need it in the future.
- D. No, it is safe to assume that the customer doesn't need bundle configuration unless it's brought up specifically.

Answer: B

Explanation:

In every Salesforce CPQ implementation, Product Bundles are one of the core configuration capabilities that must be discussed during scoping and discovery—even if the customer does not initially think they need them.

✓ Why the correct answer is BB. Yes, bundle configuration should be introduced and it's up to the customer to decide whether they need it or not.

This aligns fully with Salesforce CPQ implementation best practices, discovery methodology, and the guidance in CPQ documentation and study resources.

Why bundles must be discussed in scoping Salesforce CPQ Bundles are used to solve many common quoting problems:

- Grouping products together
- Managing optional/required components
- Handling feature selections
- Automating inclusion, exclusion, or quantity logic
- Supporting configuration rules
- Ensuring sales reps configure solutions correctly
- Improving quote accuracy
- Providing guided selling experiences

Because bundles fundamentally shape:

- Product catalog architecture
- Pricing structure
- Rules design
- Quote line generation
- Amendment/Renewal behavior
- Order + Billing outputs

...they must be addressed early during discovery and scoping to avoid major redesign later.

Salesforce implementation playbooks emphasize:

- Introduce all CPQ capabilities during discovery.
- Allow the customer to confirm whether a capability meets their use cases.
- Document decisions in the solution design before build.

Therefore, bundles should always be a topic of discussion, but the customer chooses whether they need them based on business requirements.

✗ Why the other options are incorrect A. "Bundle configuration is necessary and should always be implemented. "Incorrect because:

- Not all customers need bundles.
- Some catalogs are simple, flat, or priced per unit with no component logic.

Salesforce CPQ documentation does not state bundles are mandatory.

C. "If they don't use bundles now, they won't need them later. "Incorrect because:

Customers often evolve pricing and product strategies.

Many legacy quoting tools do not support bundles, so current-state \neq future-state.

CPQ discovery must capture future-state needs.

D. "Assume no bundle configuration unless customer brings it up. "Incorrect because:

Customers often don't know what CPQ bundles can do.

CPQ consultants are responsible for educating customers on capabilities.

Failing to introduce bundles leads to:

Incorrect product catalog design

Broken pricing logic

Large rework later in the project

This contradicts CPQ best-practice discovery methodology.

5.How does Hold Billing work?

A. It Prevents invoice document generation and stops email notifications from going out to the customer.

B. The Hold Billing field is set to "yes" until the order is activated. Upon order activation the field will be automatically set to "no".

C. It suspends invoicing for that order product until the field is set to "no". Invoices lines will be created to account for the time when hold billing was set to "yes"

D. It suspends invoicing for that order product until the field is set to "no". Invoices lines will be created only for invoices after hold billing was set to "yes".

Answer: C

Explanation:

Salesforce Billing's Hold Billing field on Order Product works exactly as follows:

When Hold Billing = Yes, Salesforce Billing does not generate invoice lines for that Order Product.

Once the user sets Hold Billing back to No, Billing:

Calculates the missed invoice periods

Creates catch-up invoice lines so billing is not lost

Correct Behavior (per Documentation) This means:

✓ Invoicing is suspended

✓ Catch-up invoice lines are created for the entire period Hold Billing was active Thus, C is the correct and documented behavior.

Why the other answers are incorrect Option Description

Why Incorrect

A

Prevents invoice document generation and emails

Misleading: the function specifically stops invoice line creation for the order product; it does not manage email notifications.

B

Hold Billing auto-resets on activation

False. Hold Billing is a manual field and does not auto-clear.

D

Only invoices after Hold Billing is set to No are created

Incorrect—Billing creates catch-up invoices for missed time.
Thus, C is completely aligned with Salesforce Billing behavior.